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#### Abstract

Best dominant is obtained for normalized analytic functions $f$ satisfying $(1-\alpha) f(z) / z+\alpha f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z f^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec h(z)$ in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$, where $h$ is a normalized convex function, and $\alpha, \beta$ are appropriate real parameters. This fundamental result is next applied to investigate the convexity and starlikeness of the image domains $f(\mathbb{D})$ for particular choices of $h$.
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## INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the class of analytic functions $f$ defined in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$. For $a \in \mathbb{C}, n$ a positive integer, and $z \in \mathbb{D}$, let

$$
\mathcal{H}_{n}(a)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{H}: f(z)=a+\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{A}_{n}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{H}: f(z)=z+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}\right\}
$$

with $\mathcal{A}_{1}=\mathcal{A}$. The subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of starlike functions in $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

is denoted by $\mathcal{S T}$, and $\mathcal{C V}$ is the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of convex functions in $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

For two analytic functions $f$ and $g$, the function $f$ is subordinate to $g$, written $f(z) \prec g(z)$ if there is an analytic self-map $w$ of $\mathbb{D}$ with $w(0)=0$ satisfying $f(z)=g(w(z))$. If $g$ is univalent, then $f$ subordinate to $g$ is equivalent to $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq g(\mathbb{D})$.

This paper considers a class of functions satisfying a second-order differential subordination to a given convex function. Best dominant amongst the solutions to this differential subordination is determined. Further, sufficient conditions are obtained that ensure these solutions are either starlike or convex functions in $\mathbb{D}$. Such conditions in terms of differential inequalities have been investigated in several works, notably by $[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]$. In particular, Kanas and Owa [8] studied connections between certain second-order differential subordination involving expressions of the form $f(z) / z, f^{\prime}(z)$ and $1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)$. The class studied in this paper presents a more general framework.

The following lemma will be needed.

Lemma 1 [9, Theorem 1, p. 192] Let $h$ be convex in $\mathbb{D}$ with $h(0)=a, \gamma \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} \gamma \geq 0$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}_{n}(a)$ and

$$
p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{\gamma} \prec h(z),
$$

then

$$
p(z) \prec q(z) \prec h(z),
$$

where

$$
q(z)=\frac{\gamma}{n z^{\gamma / n}} \int_{0}^{z} h(t) t^{(\gamma / n)-1} d t .
$$

The function $q$ is convex and is the best $(a, n)$-dominant.

## SECOND - ORDER DIFFERENTIAL SUBORDINATION

In the following sequel, we shall assume that $h$ is an analytic convex function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $h(0)=1$. For $\beta \geq 0$ and $\alpha+2 \beta \geq 0$ consider the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n}$ satisfying the second-order differential subordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\alpha f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z f^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec h(z) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mu$ and $v$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
v+\mu=\alpha+\beta \text { and } \mu v=\beta \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\operatorname{Re} \mu \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} v \geq 0$.
The following result gives the best dominant to solutions of the differential subordination (1).
Theorem 1 Let $\mu$ and $v$ be given by (2), and $\alpha, \beta$ be real numbers such that $\beta \geq 0$ and $\alpha+2 \beta \geq 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n}$ satisfies

$$
(1-\alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\alpha f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z f^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec h(z)
$$

then

$$
\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec q(z):=\frac{1}{\beta n^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} h(r s z) r^{(1 / \mu n)-1} s^{(1 / v n)-1} d r d s,
$$

and $q$ is the best ( $a, n$ )-dominant.
Proof. Let

$$
p(z)=\frac{f(z)}{z}=1+a_{n+1} z^{n}+a_{n+2} z^{n+1}+\cdots .
$$

Evidently

$$
(1-\alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\alpha f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z f^{\prime \prime}(z)=\beta z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)+(\alpha+2 \beta) z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z)
$$

and (1) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)+(\alpha+2 \beta) z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z) \prec h(z) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing

$$
F(z)=v z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z),
$$

it follows that

$$
F(z)+\mu z F^{\prime}(z)=\beta z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)+(\alpha+2 \beta) z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z) \prec h(z),
$$

where $\mu$ and $v$ are given by (2). Lemma 1 now yields

$$
F(z) \prec \frac{1}{\mu n z^{1 / \mu n}} \int_{0}^{z} h(t) t^{(1 / \mu n)-1} d t
$$

and thus

$$
p(z)+v z p^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1}{\mu n} \int_{0}^{1} h(r z) r^{(1 / \mu n)-1} d r .
$$

A second application of Lemma 1 shows that

$$
p(z) \prec \frac{1}{v n z^{1 / v n}} \int_{0}^{z}\left(\frac{1}{\mu n} \int_{0}^{1} h(r t) r^{(1 / \mu n)-1} d r\right) t^{(1 / v n)-1} d t,
$$

which in view of (2) implies that

$$
\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec q(z):=\frac{1}{\beta n^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} h(r s z) r^{(1 / \mu n)-1} s^{(1 / v n)-1} d r d s
$$

Since $q(z)+(\alpha+2 \beta) n z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta\left[n(n-1) z q^{\prime}(z)+n^{2} z^{2} q^{\prime \prime}(z)\right]=h(z)$, the function $Q(z)=z q(z)$ is a solution of the differential subordination

$$
(1-\alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\alpha f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z f^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec h(z)
$$

This shows that $q \prec \tilde{q}$ for all $(a, n)$-dominants $\tilde{q}$, and hence $q$ is the best $(a, n)-$ dominant.
The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.
Corollary 1 Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\alpha f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z f^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec 1+\mathrm{Mz} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec 1+\frac{M z}{1+\alpha n+\beta n(n+1)}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the superordinate function is the best dominant.
An application of Corollary 1 gives the following sufficient condition for starlikeness.
Theorem 2 Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be real numbers with $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta \geq 2 \alpha$. Further let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n}$ and $0<M<M(\alpha, \beta, n)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(\alpha, \beta, n)=\frac{2(\beta-2 \alpha)[1+\alpha n+\beta n(n+1)]}{\alpha(n-1)+\beta[n(n+1)+2]} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f$ satisfies the differential subordination

$$
(1-\alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\alpha f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z f^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec 1+\mathrm{Mz}
$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S I}$.

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z w^{\prime}(z) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A brief computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z w^{\prime \prime}(z)}{w^{\prime}(z)}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the analytical condition for starlikeness, that is, $\operatorname{Re}\left(z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right)>0$ in $\mathbb{D}$, it remains to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z w^{\prime \prime}(z)}{w^{\prime}(z)}\right|<1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (7), (4) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(z)+(\alpha+2 \beta) z w^{\prime \prime}(z)+\beta z^{2} w^{\prime \prime \prime}(z) \prec 1+M z . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (10), evidently

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) w(z)+\alpha z w^{\prime}(z)+\beta z^{2} w^{\prime \prime}(z)=z+M z \int_{0}^{1} \phi(s z) d s \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ is an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ with $\phi(0)=0$. It follows from (7) and (11) that

$$
\left|\frac{z w^{\prime \prime}(z)}{w^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\beta}\left|\frac{1}{w^{\prime}(z)}\right|\left|1+M \int_{0}^{1} \phi(s z) d s\right|+\frac{(\alpha-1)}{\beta}\left|\frac{w(z)}{z w^{\prime}(z)}\right|+\frac{\alpha}{\beta} .
$$

For (9) to hold true, it is sufficient to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\beta}\left|\frac{1}{w^{\prime}(z)}\right|\left|1+M \int_{0}^{1} \phi(s z) d s\right|+\frac{(\alpha-1)}{\beta}\left|\frac{w(z)}{z w^{\prime}(z)}\right|+\frac{\alpha}{\beta}<1 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the subordination (5), implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{w^{\prime}(z)}\right|<\frac{1+\alpha n+\beta n(n+1)}{1+\alpha n+\beta n(n+1)-M} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M<1+\alpha n+\beta n(n+1)$, while

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{w(z)}{z}\right|<1+\frac{M}{2[1+\alpha n+\beta n(n+1)]} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|\phi(z)|<|z|$, a brief computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|1+M \int_{0}^{1} \phi(s z) d s\right|<1+\frac{M}{2} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account the inequalities (13), (14) and (15), the condition (12) is fulfilled whenever $M<M(\alpha, \beta, n)$ with $M(\alpha, \beta, n)$ given by (6). This completes the proof.

The following theorem which gives sufficient conditions for convexity is also a consequence of Corollary 1.
Theorem 3 Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be real numbers with $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta \geq(2+\sqrt{2}) \alpha$. Further let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n}$ and $0<M<M(\alpha, \beta, n)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(\alpha, \beta, n)=\frac{2[1+\alpha n+\beta n(n+1)]\left[(\beta-2 \alpha)^{2}-2 \alpha^{2}\right]}{2 \beta[2+\alpha(n-1)+\beta n(n+1)]+(\beta-\alpha)[\alpha(n-1)+\beta n(n+1)]} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f$ satisfies the differential subordination

$$
(1-\alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\alpha f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z f^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec 1+\mathrm{Mz}
$$

then $f \in \mathcal{C V}$.
Proof. In view of the fact

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \geq 1-\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|>0
$$

it is sufficient to prove the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|<1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)\left[\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)-1\right]=z f^{\prime \prime}(z) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proceeding similarly as in the proof of Theorem 2, with $\phi$ as an analytic self-map of the unit disk, it follows from (18) and (4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\alpha f^{\prime}(z)+\beta f^{\prime}(z)\left[\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)-1\right]=1+M \phi(z) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subsequently,

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\beta}\left|\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right||1+M \phi(z)|+\frac{(\alpha-1)}{\beta}\left|\frac{f}{z f^{\prime}(z)}\right|+\frac{\alpha}{\beta},
$$

which leads to the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\beta}\left|\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right||1+M \phi(z)|+\left(\frac{\alpha-1}{\beta}\right)\left|\frac{f}{z f^{\prime}(z)}\right|+\frac{\alpha}{\beta}<1 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for (17) to hold true.

Applying (7) and (11), as well as the inequalities (13), (14) and (15), yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|<\frac{2 \beta[1+\alpha n+\beta n(n+1)]}{2[1+\alpha n+\beta n(n+1)](\beta-2 \alpha)-M[\alpha(n-1)+\beta(n(n+1)+2)]} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)}\right|<\frac{2 \beta[1+\alpha n+\beta n(n+1)-M]}{2[1+\alpha n+\beta n(n+1)](\beta-2 \alpha)-M[\alpha(n-1)+\beta(n(n+1)+2)]} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
M<\frac{2(\beta-2 \alpha)[1+\alpha n+\beta n(n+1)]}{\alpha(n-1)+\beta[n(n+1)+2]} .
$$

In view of (21), (22) and the fact that $|1+M \phi(z)|<1+M$, (20) is fulfilled for $M<M(\alpha, \beta, n)$, where $M(\alpha, \beta, n)$ is given by (16). This completes the proof.
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